arXiv:1402.2193v1 [math.AP] 10 Feb 2014

On the Schrödinger equations with isotropic and anisotropic fourth-order dispersion

Carlos Banquet*
Universidad de Córdoba, Departamento de Matemáticas y Estadística
A.A. 354, Montería, Colombia.
E-mail:cbanquet@correo.unicordoba.edu.co

Elder J. Villamizar-Roa
Universidad Industrial de Santander, Escuela de Matemáticas
A.A. 678, Bucaramanga, Colombia.
E-mail:jvillami@uis.edu.co

February 11, 2014

Abstract

This paper deals with the Cauchy problem associated to the nonlinear fourth-order Schrödinger equation with isotropic and anisotropic mixed dispersion. This model is given by the equation $i\partial_t u + \epsilon\Delta u + \delta Au + \lambda|u|^\alpha u = 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where A represents either the operator Δ^2 (isotropic dispersion) or $\sum_{i=1}^d \partial_{x_i x_i x_i x_i}$, $1 \le d < n$ (anisotropic dispersion), and $\alpha, \epsilon, \lambda$ are given real parameters. We obtain local and global well-posedness results in spaces of initial data with low regularity, such as weak-L^p spaces. Our analysis also includes the biharmonic and anisotropic biharmonic equation ($\epsilon = 0$) for which, the existence of self-similar solutions is obtained as consequence of his scaling invariance. In a second part, we investigate the vanishing second order dispersion limit in the framework of weak-L^p spaces. We also analyze the convergence of the solutions for the nonlinear fourth-order Schrödinger equation $i\partial_t u + \epsilon\Delta u + \delta\Delta^2 u + \lambda|u|^\alpha u = 0$, as ϵ goes to zero, in H^2-norm, to the solutions of the corresponding biharmonic equation $i\partial_t u + \delta\Delta^2 u + \lambda|u|^\alpha u = 0$.

AMS subject classification: 35Q55, 35A01, 35A02, 35C06.

Keywords: Fourth-order Schrödinger equation; Biharmonic equation; Local and global solutions.

1 Introduction

This paper is devoted to the study of the Cauchy problem associated to the following fourth-order Schrödinger equation (FoSch) in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$:

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \epsilon\Delta u + \delta Au + f(|u|)u = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where the unknown $u(x,t)$ is a complex-valued function in space-time $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}, n \ge 1$, u_0 denotes the initial data and ϵ, δ, are real parameters. The operator A is defined as

$$Au = \begin{cases} \Delta^2 u = \Delta\Delta u, \ \text{(isotropic dispersion)}, \\ \sum_{i=1}^d u_{x_i x_i x_i x_i}, \ 1 \le d < n, \ \text{(anisotropic dispersion)}. \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

*Corresponding author.

The nonlinear term is given by $f(|u|)u$ where $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq C_f|x - y|(|x|^{\alpha-1} + |y|^{\alpha-1}),$$

for some $1 \leq \alpha < \infty$, $f(0) = 0$, and the constant $C_f > 0$ is independent of $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. A typical case of function f is given by $f(x) = |x|^\alpha$. Without loss of generally we will consider $\delta = \pm 1; \varepsilon = \pm 1, 0$.

The class of fourth-order Schrödinger equations has been widely used in many branches of applied science such as nonlinear optics, deep water wave dynamics, plasma physics, superconductivity, quantum mechanics and so on [1, 8, 21, 22, 24, 25, 35]. If we consider $\epsilon = 0$ in (1.1), the resulting equation is the fourth-order nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$i\partial_t u + \delta Au + f(|u|)u = 0. \tag{1.3}$$

In particular, if we take $A = \Delta^2$ in (1.3) we obtain the well known biharmonic equation (BNLS)

$$i\partial_t u + \delta\Delta^2 u + f(|u|)u = 0, \tag{1.4}$$

introduced by Karpman [24], and Karpman and Shagalov [25] to take into account the role played by higher fourth-order dispersion terms in formation and propagation of intense laser beams in a bulk medium with Kerr nonlinearity [22]. Historically, (1.4) has been extensively studied in Sobolev spaces, see for instance [20, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 34, 37] and references there in. Fibich *et al* [20] established sufficient conditions for global existence for the BNLS equation, for $\delta < 0$ and $\delta > 0$, with initial data in $H^2(\Omega)$ being Ω a smooth bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Global existence and scattering theory for the defocusing BNLS, in $H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ was established in Pausander [28, 29]. Wang in [34] showed the global existence of solutions and a scattering result for the BNLS (with a nonlinearity of the form $|u|^p u$) with small initial radial data in the homogeneous Sobolev space $\dot{H}^{s_c}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and dimensions $n \geq 2$. Here $s_c = \frac{n}{2} - \frac{4}{p}$ and $s_c > -\frac{3n-2}{2n+1}$. The main ingredient of the work of Wang is the improvement of the Strichartz estimative associated to the BNLS for radial initial data; see also Zhu, Yang and Zhang [37], where some results on blow-up solitons are established for the BNLS equation. More recently, Guo in [19] analyzed the global existence of solutions in Sobolev spaces and the asymptotic behavior for the Cauchy problem associated to BNLS equation with combined power-type nonlinearities. Finally, we recall a recent result of Miao *et al.* [26] about the defocusing energy-critical nonlinear BNLS equation $iu_t + \Delta^2 u = -|u|^{\frac{8}{d-4}}u$, which establishes that any finite energy solution is global and scatters both forward and backward in time in dimensions $d \geq 9$.

When $\epsilon \neq 0$ and A is the biharmonic operator, equation (1.1) corresponds to the following nonlinear Schrödinger equation with isotropic mixed-dispersion (INLS):

$$i\partial_t u + \epsilon\Delta u + \delta\Delta^2 u + f(|u|)u = 0. \tag{1.5}$$

This equation was also introduced by Karpman [24], and Karpman and Shagalov [25], and it has been used as a model to investigate the role played by higher-order dispersion terms in formation and propagation of solitary waves, in magnetic materials where the effective quasi-particle mass becomes infinite. From the mathematical point of view, the INLS equation has been studied extensively in Sobolev and Besov spaces, see for instance [13, 14, 17, 18, 20] and some references there in. Fibich *et al.* [20] investigated the global existence of solutions of (1.5) in the class $C(\mathbb{R}; H^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ by using the conservation laws. Moreover, the authors also studied the dynamics of the solutions and gave numerical simulations. These results were improved by Guo and Cui in [13]. Local well-posedness of the Cauchy problem (1.5) in Sobolev spaces $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with $f(u) = |u|^\alpha$, $\frac{\alpha}{2} \geq \frac{4}{n}$, $s > s_0 \equiv \frac{n}{2} - \frac{4}{\alpha}$, was obtained by Cui and Guo in [18]. Additionally, the authors, by using such local result and conservation laws, improved the results of [20] and established some new global well-posedness results in $H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In [14] the authors proved some results of local and global well-posedness on Besov spaces for dimensions $1 \leq n \leq 4$; more exactly, the authors proved that the Cauchy problem associated to (1.5), with $f(u) = |u|^\alpha$, is local well possed in $C([-T, T]; \dot{B}^{s_\alpha}_{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and $C([-T, T]; B^s_{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ for some $T > 0$, where $s_\alpha = \frac{n}{2} - \frac{4}{\alpha}$, $s > s_\alpha$, $1 \leq q \leq \infty$. With respect to the global well-posedness in Sobolev space $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n), s < 2$, Guo in [17], considering $f(u) = |u|^{2m}$, and

using the I-method, proved a global well-posedness result in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $s > 1 + \frac{mn-9+\sqrt{(4m-mn+7)^2+16}}{4m}$, $4 < mn < 4m + 2$.

Another important model considerated in (1.1) is given for the anisotropic dispersion (ANLS), that is,

$$i\partial_t u + \epsilon\Delta u + \delta \sum_{i=1}^{d} u_{x_i x_i x_i x_i} + f(|u|)u = 0. \tag{1.6}$$

This model appears in the propagation of ultrashort laser pulses in a planar waveguide medium with anomalous time-dispersion and the propagation of solitons in fiber arrays, see Wen and Fan [35] and Acevedes, De Angelis and Turitsyn [1]. In the case of a nonlinearity of the form $g(x, |u|)u$ in (1.6), Guo and Cui [18] proved the existence of local solutions for initial data in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with $s \geq 0$ if $0 < \alpha \leq \frac{8}{2n-d}$ and $s \geq \frac{n}{2}(1 - \frac{8}{(2n-d)\alpha})$ if $\alpha \geq \frac{8}{2n-d}$. Here $g \in C^N(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ for some positive integer N and there exists $\alpha \geq N$ such that $|\frac{\partial^k g(x,u)}{\partial x^{k_1} \partial u^{k_2}}| \leq C_k |u|^{\alpha - k_2}$, with $k_1 + k_2 = N$ and $k = 0, 1, \cdots, N$. Guo and Cui [18] also showed the existence of global and almost global solutions for ANLS equation with $s \geq \frac{n}{2}(1 - \frac{8}{(2n-d)\alpha})$ if $\alpha \geq \frac{8}{2n-d}$. See also Zhao, Guo, Sheng and Wei [36] where a generalization of the work in [18] was made.

Comparing equations (1.3) with (1.5) and (1.6) we observe that (1.3), with $f(|u|) = |u|^\alpha$, is invariant under the group of transformations $u(x,t) \to u_\lambda(x,t)$, where $u_\lambda(x,t) = \lambda^{\frac{4}{\alpha}} u(\lambda x, \lambda^4 t)$, $\lambda > 0$. Solutions invariant under the transformation $u \to u_\lambda$ are said to be self-similar solutions. In order to obtain self-similar solutions we need to consider a norm $\|\cdot\|$ defined on a space of initial data u_0, which is invariant with respect to the group of transformations $u \to u_\lambda$, that is, $\|u_{0\lambda}\| = \|u_0\|$ for all $\lambda > 0$; therefore u_0 must be a homogeneous function of degree $-\frac{4}{\alpha}$. However, H^s spaces are not well adapted for studying this kind of solutions. This fact motives us to study the existence of global solutions of cauchy problem associated to (1.3) with initial data outside of H^s spaces, by using norms based on weak$-L^p$ spaces, which are natural Banach spaces containing homogeneous function of degree $-\frac{n}{p}$. As consequence, the existence of forward self-similar solutions for (1.3) is obtained by assuming u_0 a sufficiently small homogeneous function of degree $-\frac{4}{\lambda}$. Because equation appearing in (1.1) does not verifies any scaling symmetries, is not likely to possess self similar solutions. However, by using time decay estimates for the respective fourth-order Schrödinger group in weak-L^p spaces, we are able to obtain a result of existence of global solutions for the Cauchy problem (1.1) in a class of function spaces generated by the scaling of the biharmonic equation (1.4) with $f(|u|) = |u|^\alpha$. In relation to the existence of local in time solutions for (1.1) and in particular, the Cauchy problem associated to the equation (1.3), we will prove a result of existence and uniqueness for a large class of singular initial data, which includes homogeneous functions of degree $-n/p$ for adequate values of p. As a consequence, some results of local existence in Sobolev spaces can be recovered.

It is worthwhile to remark that the existence of local and global solutions for dispersive equations with initial data outside the context of finite L^2-mass, such as weak-L^r spaces, has been analyzed for the classical Schorödinger equation, coupled Schrödinger equations, Davey-Stewartson system, which are models characterized by having scaling relation (cf. [4, 10, 12, 33]). Existence of solutions in the framework of weak-L^r spaces for models which have no scaling relation, have been explored in Boussinesq and Schorödinger-Boussinesq system in [2, 9].

In order to state our results, we start by establishing the definition of mild solution for the Cauchy problem (1.1). A mild solution for problem (1.1) is a function u satisfying the following integral equation

$$u(x,t) = G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t)u_0(x) + i\int_0^t G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t-\tau) f(|u(x,\tau)|)u(x,\tau)d\tau, \tag{1.7}$$

where $G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t)$ is the free group associated to the respective linear Fourth-order Schrödinger equation, that is,

$$G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t)\varphi = \begin{cases} J_{\epsilon,\delta}(\cdot,t) * \varphi, & \text{if } A = \Delta^2, \\ I_{\epsilon,\delta}(\cdot,t) * \varphi, & \text{if } A = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \partial_{x_i x_i x_i x_i}, \end{cases} \tag{1.8}$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\epsilon,\delta}(x,t) &= (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix\xi - it\left(\epsilon|\xi|^2 - \delta|\xi|^4\right)} d\xi \\ I_{\epsilon,\delta}(x,t) &= \left((2\pi)^{-d} \prod_{j=1}^{d} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ix_j\xi_j - it(\epsilon\xi_j^2 - \delta\xi_j^4)} d\xi_j \right) \\ &\quad \times \left((2\pi)^{-(n-d)} \prod_{j=d+1}^{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ix_j\xi_j - it\epsilon\xi_j^2} d\xi_j \right) \\ &\equiv I^1_{\epsilon,\delta}(x,t) I^2_{\epsilon,\delta}(x,t). \end{aligned}$$

Before to precise our results, briefly we recall some notation and facts about Lorentz spaces, see Bergh and Löfström [3], which will be our scenario to establish our existence results. Lorentz spaces $L^{(p,d)}$ are defined as the set of measurable function g on $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that the quantity

$$\|g\|_{(p,d)} = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{p}{d} \int_0^\infty \left[t^{\frac{1}{p}} g^{**}(t) \right]^d \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{d}}, & \text{if} \quad 1 < p < \infty,\ 1 \le d < \infty, \\ \sup_{t>0} t^{\frac{1}{p}} g^{**}(t), & \text{if} \quad 1 < p \le \infty,\ d = \infty, \end{cases}$$

is finite. Here $g^{**}(t) = \frac{1}{t}\int_0^t g^*(s)\, ds$ and

$$g^*(t) = \inf\{s > 0 : \mu\left(\{x \in \Omega : |g(x)| > s\}\right) \le t\}, t > 0,$$

with μ denoting the Lebesgue measure. In particular, $L^p(\Omega) = L^{(p,p)}(\Omega)$ and, when $d = \infty$, $L^{(p,\infty)}(\Omega)$ are called weak-L^p spaces. Furthermore, $L^{(p,d_1)} \subset L^p \subset L^{(p,d_2)} \subset L^{(p,\infty)}$ for $1 \le d_1 \le p \le d_2 \le \infty$. In particular, weak-$L^p$ spaces contain singular functions with infinite L^2-mass such as homogeneous functions of degree $-n/p$. Comparing to $H^{s,l}$-spaces, the continuous inclusion $H^{s,l}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^{(p,\infty)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ holds true for $s \ge 0$ and $\frac{1}{l} - \frac{s}{n} \le \frac{1}{p}$, and $H^{s,l}$-spaces do not contain any weak-L^p spaces if $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 \le l \le 2$ and $l \le p$. In particular, $L^{(p,\infty)}(\mathbb{R}^n) \not\subset H^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^n) = H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, when $p \ge 2$. Finally, a helpful fact about Lorentz spaces is the validity of the Hölder inequality which reads

$$\|gh\|_{(r,s)} \le C(r)\|g\|_{(p_1,d_1)}\|h\|_{(p_2,d_2)},$$

for $1 < p_1 \le \infty$, $1 < p_2$, $r < \infty$, $\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} < 1$, $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$, and $s \ge 1$ satisfies $\frac{1}{d_1} + \frac{1}{d_2} \ge \frac{1}{s}$.

In this paper we obtain new results of local and global existence on Schrödinger equations with isotropic and anisotropic fourth-order dispersion. First, we prove the existence of local-in-time solutions to the integral equation (1.7) (see Theorem 3.1). For the existence of local solutions, fixed $0 < T < \infty$, we consider the space $\mathcal{G}^T_\beta$ of Bochner measurable functions $u : (-T, T) \to L^{(p(\alpha+1),\infty)}$ such that

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{G}^T_\beta} = \sup_{-T<t<T} |t|^\beta \|u(t)\|_{(p(\alpha+1),\infty)},$$

where

$$\beta = \begin{cases} \dfrac{n\alpha}{4p(\alpha+1)}, \text{ if } A = \Delta^2, \\ \dfrac{(2n-d)\alpha}{4p(\alpha+1)}, \text{ if } A = \displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^{d} \partial_{x_i x_i x_i x_i}, \end{cases} \tag{1.9}$$

and p is such that the pair $(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p(\alpha+1)})$ belongs to the set $\Xi_0 \setminus \partial\Xi_0$ where Ξ_0 is the quadrilateral $R_0P_0BQ_0$, with $B = (1,0)$, $P_0 = (2/3, 0)$, $Q_0 = (1, 1/3)$ and $R_0 = (1/2, 1/2)$. The exponent β in (1.9), and the restriction of p, correspond to the time decay of the group $G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t)$ on Lorentz spaces (see Proposition

2.3 below). The initial data is such that $\|G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t)u_0\|_{\mathcal{G}^T_\beta}$ is finite. As a consequence, some results of local existence in Sobolev spaces can be recovered (see Remark 3.2).

Second, we analyze the existence of global-in-time solutions (see Theorem 3.4). For that we define the space $\mathcal{G}^\infty_\sigma$ as the set of Bochner measurable functions $u : (-\infty, \infty) \to L^{(\alpha+2,\infty)}$ such that

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{G}^\infty_\sigma} = \sup_{-\infty<t<\infty} |t|^\sigma \|u(t)\|_{(\alpha+2,\infty)} < \infty,$$

where σ is given by

$$\sigma = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{\alpha} - \dfrac{n}{4(\alpha+2)}, \text{ if } A = \Delta^2, \\ \dfrac{1}{\alpha} - \dfrac{2n-d}{4(\alpha+2)}, \text{ if } A = \displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^{d} \partial_{x_i x_i x_i x_i}. \end{cases} \tag{1.10}$$

Observe that the value $\sigma = \frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{n}{4(\alpha+2)}$ in (1.10) is the unique one such that the norm $\|u\|_{\mathcal{G}^\infty_\sigma}$ becomes invariant by the scaling of biharmonic equation and $f(u) = |u|^\alpha$. In order to obtain existence of global solutions, we consider the following class of initial data

$$\mathcal{D}_\sigma \equiv \{\varphi \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) : \sup_{-\infty<t<\infty} t^\sigma \|G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t)\varphi\|_{(\alpha+2,\infty)} < \infty\}. \tag{1.11}$$

As consequence, if we consider the biharmonic or anisotropic biharmonic equation, i.e., $\epsilon = 0$ in (1.1), we obtain the existence of self-similar solutions by assuming u_0 a sufficiently small homogeneous function of degree $-\frac{4}{\alpha}$ (see Corollary 3.6).

As was said, formally, when we drop the second order dispersion term in $i\partial_t u+\epsilon\Delta u+\delta\Delta^2 u+f(|u|)u = 0$, i.e., taking $\epsilon = 0$, we obtain the biharmonic equation $i\partial_t u + \delta\Delta^2 u + f(|u|)u = 0$. However, to the best of our knowledge, the vanishing second order dispersion limit has not been addressed. We observe that the analysis of vanishing dispersion limits can be seen as an interesting issue in dispersive PDE theory, because it permits to describe qualitative properties between different models. We recall, for instance, that in fluid mechanics, the vanishing viscosity limit of the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations is a classical issue [11, 23]. This is the motivation of the second aim of this paper. We investigate the vanishing second order dispersion limits in the framework of weak-L^p spaces. Moreover, we study the convergence as ϵ goes to zero, in the H^2-norm, of the solution of Cauchy problem (1.1), with $A = \Delta^2$, to the corresponding Cauchy problem associated to biharmonic equation (1.4).

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we establish some linear and nonlinear estimates which are fundamental in order to obtain our results of local and global mild solutions. In Section 3 we enunciate and prove our results of local and global solutions, as well as, we give three results about vanishing second and fourth order dispersion limits.

2 Linear and nonlinear estimates

In this section we establish some linear and nonlinear estimates which are fundamental in order to obtain our results of local and global mild solutions. We start by rewriting Theorem 2, Section 3, of Cui [5] for the case $n = 1$ and Theorem 2, Section 3, of Cui [6] for the case $n \geq 2$ (see also Lemma 2.1 in Guo and Cui [15, 16]). For this purpose we denote Ξ_0 the quadrilateral $R_0P_0BQ_0$ in the $(1/p, 1/q)$ plane, where

$$B = (1, 0), \quad P_0 = (2/3, 0), \quad Q_0 = (1, 1/3) \text{ and } R_0 = (1/2, 1/2).$$

Ξ_0 comprises the apices B, R_0 and all the edges BP_0, BQ_0, P_0R_0 and Q_0R_0, but does not comprises the apices P_0 and Q_0.

Proposition 2.1 *Given $T>0$ and a pair of positive numbers (p,q) satisfying $(1/p,1/q)\in\Xi_0$, there exists a constant $C=C(T,p,q)>0$ such that for any $\varphi\in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $-T\leq t\leq T$ it holds*

$$\|G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t)\varphi\|_{L^q}\leq C|t|^{-b_l}\|\varphi\|_{L^p},$$

where

$$b_l=\begin{cases}\frac{n}{4}\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right), & \text{if } A=\Delta^2,\\ \frac{2n-d}{4}\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right), & \text{if } A=\sum_{i=1}^d\partial_{x_ix_ix_ix_i}.\end{cases}\tag{2.1}$$

Moreover, if $\epsilon=0$ the above estimate holds for all $t\neq 0$.

The last inequality is not convenient to obtain a result of global well-posedness because the constant C depends on T. In order to overcome this problem we establish a different result which follows from an standard scaling argument.

Lemma 2.2 *If $1/p+1/p'=1$ with $p\in[1,2]$, then there exists a constant C independent of ϵ,δ and t such that*

$$\|G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t)\varphi\|_{L^{p'}}\leq C|t|^{-b_g}\|\varphi\|_{L^p},\ \varphi\in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

for all $t\neq 0$, where

$$b_g=\begin{cases}\frac{n}{4}\left(\frac{2}{p}-1\right), & \text{if } A=\Delta^2,\\ \frac{2n-d}{4}\left(\frac{2}{p}-1\right), & \text{if } A=\sum_{i=1}^d\partial_{x_ix_ix_ix_i}.\end{cases}\tag{2.2}$$

Proof: It is clear that $\|G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t)\varphi\|_{L^2}=\|\varphi\|_{L^2}$, in both cases, the isotropic and anisotropic dispersion. Now, for the isotropic case define $h(\xi):=\frac{z\xi}{t}-(\epsilon\xi^2-\delta\xi^4)$ and since $|h^{(4)}(\xi)|=24$, we can use Proposition VIII. 2 in Stein [32] in order to obtain

$$\left|\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}e^{ith(\xi)}d\xi\right|\leq C|t|^{-1/4}.$$

Note that the constant C given above does not depends on ϵ and δ. From the Young inequality we have that $\|G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t)\varphi\|_\infty\leq C|t|^{-1/4}\|\varphi\|_{L^1}$. Then the result follows by real interpolation.
The anisotropic case is obtained in a similar way. Indeed, we only need to note that

$$|I^1_{\epsilon,\delta}(x,t)|\leq C_1|t|^{-\frac{d}{4}}\quad\text{and}\quad|I^1_{\epsilon,\delta}(x,t)|\leq C_2|t|^{-\frac{n-d}{2}},$$

where C_1 and C_2 are independent of t,ϵ and δ. Consequently

$$|I_{\epsilon,\delta}(x,t)|=|I^1_{\epsilon,\delta}(x,t)I^2_{\epsilon,\delta}(x,t)|\leq C|t|^{-\frac{2n-d}{4}}.$$

Then, the proof of lemma is finished. □

Lemma 2.3 *Let $T>0$, $1\leq d\leq\infty$ and $1\leq p,q\leq\infty$ satisfying $(1/p,1/q)\in\Xi_0\setminus\partial\Xi_0$. Then, there exists a positive constant $C=C(T,p,q)>0$ such that*

$$\|G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t)\varphi\|_{(q,d)}\leq C|t|^{-b_l}\|\varphi\|_{(p,d)},\tag{2.3}$$

for all $-T\leq t\leq T$ and measurable φ. Here, b_l is defined as in (2.1). Moreover, if $\epsilon=0$ the above estimate holds for all $t\neq 0$.

Proof: We only make the proof of the isotropic case; the anisotropic case can be proved in an analogous way. Since Ξ_0 is convex we can chose $(1/p_0,1/q_0)$, $(1/p_1,1/q_1)\in\Xi_0$ such that $\frac{1}{p}=\frac{\theta}{p_0}+\frac{1-\theta}{p_1}$ and $\frac{1}{q}=\frac{\theta}{q_0}+\frac{1-\theta}{q_1}$, with $0<\theta<1$. From Proposition 2.1 we have that $G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t):L^{p_0}\to L^{q_0}$ and $G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t):L^{p_1}\to L^{q_1}$, with norms bounded by

$$\|G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t)\|_{p_0\to q_0}\leq C|t|^{-n/4(1/p_0-1/q_0)}$$

and

$$\|G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t)\|_{p_1\to q_1} \le C|t|^{-n/4(1/p_1-1/q_1)}.$$

Since $L^p = L^{(p,p)}$, using real interpolation we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t)\|_{(p,d)\to(q,d)} &\le C|t|^{-n/4(1/p_0-1/q_0)\theta}|t|^{-n/4(1/p_1-1/q_1)(1-\theta)}\\ &= C|t|^{-n/4(1/p-1/q)},\end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof of the lemma. □

In the same spirit of Lemma 2.3 one can obtain the next result which gives a linear estimate in Lorentz spaces. The proof follows from Lemma 2.2 and real interpolation. We omit it.

Lemma 2.4 *Let $1 \le d \le \infty$, $1 < p < 2$ and p' such that $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p'} = 1$. Then, there exists a positive constant C such that*

$$\|G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t)\varphi\|_{(p',d)} \le C|t|^{-b_g}\|\varphi\|_{(p,d)}, \tag{2.4}$$

for all $t \neq 0$ and measurable φ. Here b_g is as in (2.2).

Next lemma will be used in order to investigate the vanishing second order dispersion limit in the framework of weak-L^p spaces (see Theorem 4.1 below). This lemma is a generalization of Theorem 2.5 in Guo and Cui [7] on the Weak-L^p setting.

Proposition 2.5 *Assume that $\left(\frac{1}{\sigma},\frac{1}{l}\right) \in \Xi_0$, $1 \le s \le q \le \infty$, and*

$$1+\frac{1}{q} = \frac{n}{4}\left(\frac{1}{\sigma}-\frac{1}{l}\right)+\frac{1}{s} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \frac{n}{4}\left(\frac{1}{\sigma}-\frac{1}{l}\right) < 1 \text{ if } A = \Delta^2, \tag{2.5}$$

$$1+\frac{1}{q} = \frac{2n-d}{4}\left(\frac{1}{\sigma}-\frac{1}{l}\right)+\frac{1}{s} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \frac{2n-d}{4}\left(\frac{1}{\sigma}-\frac{1}{l}\right) < 1 \text{ if } A = \sum_{i=1}^{d}\partial_{x_ix_ix_ix_i}. \tag{2.6}$$

Then we have

$$\left\|\int_0^t G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t-\tau)f(\cdot,\tau)d\tau\right\|_{L_T^qL_x^{(l,\infty)}} \le C\|f\|_{L_T^sL^{(\sigma,\infty)}}.$$

Proof: The proof follows from Theorem 2.5 in Guo and Cui [7] and real interpolation. □

From now on we denote the nonlinear part of the integral equation (1.7) by

$$\mathcal{F}(u(x,t)) = i\int_0^t G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t-\tau)f(|u(x,\tau)|)u(x,\tau)d\tau.$$

In the next lemma we estimate the nonlinear term $\mathcal{F}(u)$ in the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{G}_\sigma^\infty}$, which is crucial in order to obtain existence of global mild solutions.

Lemma 2.6 *Let $1 \le \alpha < \infty$ and assume that $(\alpha+1)\sigma < 1$. Then,*

1. *If $\frac{n\alpha}{4(\alpha+2)} < 1$ and $A = \Delta^2$, then there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\mathcal{F}(u)-\mathcal{F}(v)\|_{\mathcal{G}_\sigma^\infty} \le\\ &C_1 \sup_{-\infty<t<\infty}|t|^\sigma\|u-v\|_{(\alpha+2,\infty)} \sup_{-\infty<t<\infty}|t|^{\alpha\sigma}\left[\|u\|_{(\alpha+2,\infty)}^\alpha+\|v\|_{(\alpha+2,\infty)}^\alpha\right],\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

for all u, v such that the right hand side of (2.7) is finite.

2. *If* $\frac{(2n-d)\alpha}{4(\alpha+2)} < 1$ *and* $A = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \partial_{x_i x_i x_i x_i}$, *then there exists a constant* $C_2 > 0$ *such that*

$$\|\mathcal{F}(u) - \mathcal{F}(v)\|_{\mathcal{G}_\sigma^\infty} \leq$$
$$C_2 \sup_{-\infty<t<\infty} |t|^\sigma \|u-v\|_{(\alpha+2,\infty)} \sup_{-\infty<t<\infty} |t|^{\alpha\sigma} \left[\|u\|_{(\alpha+2,\infty)}^\alpha + \|v\|_{(\alpha+2,\infty)}^\alpha\right], \tag{2.8}$$

for all u, v *such that the right hand side of (2.8) is finite.*

Proof: Without loss of generality, we can consider only the case $t > 0$. Using Lemma 2.4, the properties of f and Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{F}(u) - \mathcal{F}(v)\|_{(p',\infty)} &\leq C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{n(2-p)}{4p}} \|f(|u|)u - f(|v|)v\|_{(p,\infty)} d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{n(2-p)}{4p}} \||u-v|(|u|^\alpha + |v|^\alpha)\|_{(p,\infty)} d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{n(2-p)}{4p}} \|u-v\|_{(p',\infty)} \left[\|u\|_{(p',\infty)}^\alpha + \|v\|_{(p',\infty)}^\alpha\right] d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$ and we used the Hölder inequality, we get the restriction $p' = \alpha + 2$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\mathcal{F}(u) - \mathcal{F}(v)\|_{(\alpha+2,\infty)} \leq \\
&C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{n\alpha}{4(\alpha+2)}} \|u-v\|_{(\alpha+2,\infty)} \left[\|u\|_{(\alpha+2,\infty)}^\alpha + \|v\|_{(\alpha+2,\infty)}^\alpha\right] d\tau \leq \\
&C \sup_{t>0} t^\sigma \|u-v\|_{(\alpha+2,\infty)} \sup_{t>0} t^{\alpha\sigma} \left[\|u\|_{(\alpha+2,\infty)}^\alpha + \|v\|_{(\alpha+2,\infty)}^\alpha\right] t^{-\sigma} t^{1-\frac{n\alpha}{4(\alpha+2)}-\sigma\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $1 - \frac{n\alpha}{4(\alpha+2)} - \sigma\alpha = 0$, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
&t^\sigma \|\mathcal{F}(u) - \mathcal{F}(v)\|_{(\alpha+2,\infty)} \leq \\
&\quad C \sup_{t>0} t^\sigma \|u-v\|_{(\alpha+2,\infty)} \sup_{t>0} t^{\alpha\sigma} \left[\|u\|_{(\alpha+2,\infty)}^\alpha + \|v\|_{(\alpha+2,\infty)}^\alpha\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Taking the supremum in (2.9) we conclude the proof of the estimate (2.7). The proof of (2.8) follows in a similar way. □

In the next lemma we estimate the nonlinear term $\mathcal{F}(u)$ in the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{G}_\beta^T}$, which is crucial in order to obtain existence of local-in-time mild solutions. Here we use the notation $A \lesssim B$ which means that there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that $A \leq cB$.

Lemma 2.7 *Let* $1 \leq \alpha < \infty$, *and* $(1/p, 1/(\alpha+1)p) \in \Xi_0 \setminus \partial\Xi_0$.

1. *If* $\frac{n\alpha}{4p} < 1$ *and* $A = \Delta^2$, *then there exists a constant* $C_3 > 0$ *such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{F}(u) - \mathcal{F}(v)\|_{\mathcal{G}_\beta^T} &\leq C_3 \sup_{-T<t<T} |t|^\beta \|u-v\|_{((\alpha+1)p,\infty)} \\
&\quad \times \sup_{-T<t<T} |t|^{\beta\alpha} \left[\|u\|_{((\alpha+1)p,\infty)}^\alpha + \|v\|_{((\alpha+1)p,\infty)}^\alpha\right] T^{1-\beta(\alpha+1)},
\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

for all u, v *such that the right hand side of (2.10) is finite.*

2. *If* $\frac{(2n-d)\alpha}{4p} < 1$ *and* $A = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \partial_{x_i x_i x_i x_i}$, *then there exists a constant* $C_4 > 0$ *such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{F}(u) - \mathcal{F}(v)\|_{\mathcal{G}_\beta^T} &\leq C_4 \sup_{-T<t<T} |t|^\beta \|u-v\|_{((\alpha+1)p,\infty)} \\
&\quad \times \sup_{-T<t<T} |t|^{\beta\alpha} \left[\|u\|_{((\alpha+1)p,\infty)}^\alpha + \|v\|_{((\alpha+1)p,\infty)}^\alpha\right] T^{1-\beta(\alpha+1)},
\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

for all u, v *such that the right hand side of (2.11) is finite.*

Proof: We only make the proof of the first inequality; the proof of the second one is analogous. Without loss of generality suppose that $t > 0$. Then, from Lemma 2.3, the properties of f and Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{F}(u)-\mathcal{F}(v)\|_{(q,\infty)} &\leq \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-b_l}\,\|f(|u|)u-f(|v|)v\|_{(p,\infty)}\,d\tau\\ &\leq C\int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-b_l}\,\||u-v|(|u|^\alpha+|v|^\alpha)\|_{(p,\infty)}\,d\tau\\ &\leq C\int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-b_l}\|u-v\|_{(q,\infty)}\left(\|u\|^\alpha_{(q,\infty)}+\|v\|^\alpha_{(q,\infty)}\right)d\tau.\end{aligned}$$

Since we used the Hölder inequality the next restriction appears $q=(\alpha+1)p$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\mathcal{F}(u)-\mathcal{F}(v)\|_{((\alpha+1)p,\infty)} \lesssim\\ &\int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{n\alpha}{4p(\alpha+1)}}\|u-v\|_{((\alpha+1)p,\infty)}\left(\|u\|^\alpha_{((\alpha+1)p,\infty)}+\|v\|^\alpha_{((\alpha+1)p,\infty)}\right)d\tau \lesssim\\ &\sup_{0<t<T} t^\beta\|u-v\|_{((\alpha+1)p,\infty)}\sup_{0<t<T} t^{\alpha\beta}\left[\|u\|^\alpha_{((\alpha+1)p,\infty)}+\|v\|^\alpha_{((\alpha+1)p,\infty)}\right]t^{1-\beta(\alpha+2)}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}t^\beta\|\mathcal{F}(u)-\mathcal{F}(v)\|_{((\alpha+1)p,\infty)} &\leq C\sup_{0<t<T} t^\beta\|u-v\|_{((\alpha+1)p,\infty)}\\ &\quad\times\sup_{0<t<T} t^{\beta\alpha}\left[\|u\|^\alpha_{((\alpha+1)p,\infty)}+\|v\|^\alpha_{((\alpha+1)p,\infty)}\right]T^{1-\beta(\alpha+1)}.\end{aligned}$$

Taking supremum on t in the last inequality, we obtained the desired result. □

3 Local and global solutions

In this section we prove some results of local and global well-posedness for the Schrödinger equations with isotropic and anisotropic fourth-order dispersion in the setting of Lorentz spaces.

3.1 Local-in-time solutions

Theorem 3.1 (Local-in-time solutions) *Let $1\leq\alpha<\infty$, and $(1/p,1/(\alpha+1)p)\in\Xi_0\setminus\partial\Xi_0$. Consider $\frac{n\alpha}{4p}<1$ if $A=\Delta^2$, or $\frac{(2n-d)\alpha}{4p}<1$ if $A=\sum_{i=1}^d\partial_{x_ix_ix_ix_i}$. If $u_0\in\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\|G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t)u_0\|_{\mathcal{G}^T_\beta}$ is finite, then there exists $0<T^*\leq T<\infty$ such that the initial value problem (1.1) has a mild solution $u\in\mathcal{G}^{T^*}_\beta$, satisfying $u(t)\rightharpoonup u_0$ in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $t\to0^+$. The solution u is unique in a given ball of $\mathcal{G}^{T^*}_\beta$ and the data-solution map $u_0\mapsto u$ into $\mathcal{G}^{T^*}_\beta$ is Lipschitz.*

Remark 3.2 *(i) (Large class of initial data) From the definition of the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{G}^T_\beta}$ and Lemma 2.3, if we take $u_0\in L^{(p,\infty)}$, the quantity $\|G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t)u_0\|_{\mathcal{G}^T_\beta}$ is finite.*

(ii) (Regularity) If the initial data is such that

$$\sup_{-T<t<T}|t|^\beta\|G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t)u_0\|_{(p(\alpha+1),d)}<\infty,$$

for $1\leq d<\infty$, then the local mild solution verifies

$$\sup_{-T^*<t<T^*}|t|^\beta\|u\|_{(p(\alpha+1),d)}<\infty,$$

(possibly reducing the time of existence T^*).

(iii) (Finite energy solutions) From Theorem 3.1 some results of local existence in Sobolev spaces can be recovered. For that, notice that $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^{(p(\alpha+1),\infty)}$, *for* $s > 0$ *such that* $2 < p(\alpha+1) \leq \frac{2n}{n-2s}$ *if* $n > 2s$ *(*$2 < p(\alpha+1) \leq \infty$ *if* $n < 2s$*). Therefore, if* $u_0 \in H^s$, *then*

$$\begin{aligned}\|G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t)u_0\|_{\mathcal{G}_\beta^T} &\leq C \sup_{-T<t<T} |t|^\beta \|G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t)u_0\|_{H^s} \\ &\leq C \sup_{-T<t<T} |t|^\beta \|u_0\|_{H^s} < \infty.\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, Theorem 3.1 guarantee the existence of a mild solution $u : (-T^*, T^*) \to L^{(p(\alpha+1))}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *in* $\mathcal{G}_\beta^{T^*}$. *On the other hand, for the same initial data* $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, *suppose* $v \in C([-T_0, T_0]; H^s(\mathbb{R}^n))$ *the unique energy finite solution for some* T_0 *small enough. By the embedding* $H^s \hookrightarrow L^{(p(\alpha+1),\infty)}$, *we obtain that* $v \in \mathcal{G}_\beta^{T_0}$. *Thus, taking* T_0 *small enough, the uniqueness of solution given in Theorem 3.1, implies that* $u = v$ *on* $[-T_0, T_0]$ *and consequently,* $u \in C([-T_0, T_0]; H^s)$.

Before to give the proof of Theorem 3.1, we enunciate a result related to the existence of radial solutions. First of all we recall that a solution u in $\mathcal{G}_\beta^T$ is said to be radially symmetric, or simply radial, for a.e. $0 < |t| < T$, if $u(Rx, t) = u(x, t)$ a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ for all $n \times n$-orthogonal matrix R. Then, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3 *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1, if the initial data* u_0 *is radially symmetric, then the corresponding solution* u *is radially symmetric for a.e.* $0 < |t| < T$.

Proof: (Proof of Theorem 3.1) The proof of Theorem 3.1 will be obtained as an application of the Banach fixed point theorem. First notice that, by hypothesis on the initial data, we have that

$$\|G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t)u_0\|_{\mathcal{G}_\beta^T} := \sup_{-T<t<T} |t|^\beta \|G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t)u_0\|_{(p(\alpha+1),\infty)} \equiv \frac{K}{2} < \infty.$$

We consider the mapping Υ defined by

$$\Upsilon(u(t)) = G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t)u_0 + i\int_0^t G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t-\tau) f(|u(x,\tau)|)u(x,s)d\tau. \tag{3.1}$$

Then, we will prove that Υ defines a contraction on (B_K, d) where B_K denotes the closed ball $\{u \in \mathcal{G}_\beta^{T^*} : \|u\|_{\mathcal{G}_\beta^{T^*}} \leq K\}$ endowed with the complete metric $d(u,v) = \|u-v\|_{\mathcal{G}_\beta^{T^*}}$ for some $0 < T^* \leq T$. In fact, let us consider $0 < T^* \leq T$ such that $\tilde{C}K^\alpha (T^*)^{1-\beta(\alpha+1)} < \frac{1}{2}$ where $\tilde{C}$ denotes the constant C_3 or C_4 in Lemma 2.7. Then, from Lemma 2.7 with $v = 0$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Upsilon(u)\|_{\mathcal{G}_\beta^{T^*}} &\leq \|G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t)u_0\|_{\mathcal{G}_\beta^{T^*}} + \|\mathcal{F}(u)\|_{\mathcal{G}_\beta^{T^*}} \leq \frac{K}{2} + \tilde{C}K^{\alpha+1}(T^*)^{1-\beta(\alpha+1)} \\ &\leq \frac{K}{2} + \frac{K}{2} = K,\end{aligned}$$

for all $u \in B_K$. Consequently, $\Upsilon(B_K) \subset B_K$. Now assuming that $u, v \in B_K$, from Lemma 2.7 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Upsilon(u(t)) - \Upsilon(v(t))\|_{\mathcal{G}_\beta^{T^*}} &= \|\mathcal{F}(u) - \mathcal{F}(v)\|_{\mathcal{G}_\beta^{T^*}} \\ &\leq 2\tilde{C}K^\alpha (T^*)^{1-\beta(\alpha+1)} \|u-v\|_{\mathcal{G}_\beta^{T^*}}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Thus, as $\tilde{C}K^\alpha (T^*)^{1-\beta(\alpha+1)} < \frac{1}{2}$ the map Υ is a contraction on (B_K, d). Thus, the Banach fixed point theorem implies the existence of a unique solution $u \in \mathcal{G}_\beta^{T^*}$. Through standard argument one can prove that $u(t) \to u_0$ as $t \to 0$, in the sense of distributions [12]. On the other hand, in order to prove the local

Lipschitz continuity of the data-solution map, we consider u, v two local mild solutions with initial data u_0, v_0, respectively. Then, as in estimate (3.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|u-v\|_{\mathcal{G}_\beta^{T^*}} &= \|G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t)(u_0-v_0)\|_{\mathcal{G}_\beta^{T^*}} + \|\mathcal{F}(u)-\mathcal{F}(v)\|_{\mathcal{G}_\beta^{T^*}} \\ &\leq \|G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t)(u_0-v_0)\|_{\mathcal{G}_\beta^{T^*}} + 2\tilde{C}K^\alpha (T^*)^{1-\beta(\alpha+1)}\|u-v\|_{\mathcal{G}_\beta^{T^*}}.\end{aligned}$$

As $2\tilde{C}K^\alpha (T^*)^{1-\beta(\alpha+1)} < 1$, from last inequality the local Lipschitz continuity of the data-solution map holds. □

Proof of Corollary 3.3 From the fixed point argument used in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we can see that the local solution u as the limit in $\mathcal{G}_\beta^T$ of the Picard sequence

$$u_1 = G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t)(u_0), \quad u_{k+1} = u_1 + \mathcal{F}(u_k),\ k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3.3}$$

Since the symbol of the group $G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t)$ is radially symmetric for each fixed $0 < t < T$, it follows that $G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t)u_0$ is radial, provided that u_0 is radial. Furthermore, since the nonlinear term $\mathcal{F}(u)$ is radial when u are radial, an induction argument gives that the sequence $\{u_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ given in (3.3) is radial. Since pointwise convergence preserves radial symmetry, and $\mathcal{G}_\beta^T$ implies (up to a subsequence) almost everywhere pointwise convergence in the variable x, for a.e. fixed $t \neq 0$, it follows that $u(x,t)$ is radially symmetric. □

3.2 Global-in-time solutions

Theorem 3.4 (Global-in-time solutions) *Let $1 \leq \alpha < \infty$ and assume that $(\alpha+1)\sigma < 1$. Consider either $\frac{n\alpha}{4(\alpha+2)} < 1$ if $A = \Delta^2$, or $\frac{(2n-d)\alpha}{4(\alpha+2)} < 1$ if $A = \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_{x_i x_i x_i x_i}$. Suppose further that $\xi > 0$ and $M > 0$ satisfy the inequality $\xi + \widetilde{C}M^{\alpha+1} \leq M$ where $\widetilde{C} = \widetilde{C}(\alpha, n)$ is the constant C_1 or C_2 in Lemma 2.6. If $u_0 \in \mathcal{D}_\sigma$, with $\sup_{t>0} t^\sigma \|G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t)u_0\|_{(\alpha+2,\infty)} < \xi$, then the initial value problem (1.1) has a unique global-in-time mild solution $u \in \mathcal{G}_\sigma^\infty$ with $\|u\|_{\mathcal{G}_\sigma^\infty} \leq M$, such that $\lim_{t\to 0} u(t) = u_0$ in distribution sense. Moreover, if u, v are two global mild solutions with respective initial data u_0, v_0, then*

$$\|u-v\|_{\mathcal{G}_\sigma^\infty} \leq C\|G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t)(u_0-v_0)\|_{\mathcal{G}_\sigma^\infty}. \tag{3.4}$$

Additionally, if $G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t)(u_0-v_0)$ verifies the stronger decay

$$\sup_{t>0} |t|^\sigma (1+|t|)^\varsigma \|G_\epsilon(t)(u_0-v_0)\|_{(\alpha+2,\infty)} < \infty,$$

for some $\varsigma > 0$ such that $\sigma(\alpha+1)+\varsigma < 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{t>0} |t|^\sigma (1+|t|)^\varsigma \|u(t)-v(t)\|_{(\alpha+2,\infty)} \leq \\ &\qquad C \sup_{t>0} |t|^\sigma (1+|t|)^\varsigma \|G_\epsilon(t)(u_0-v_0)\|_{(\alpha+2,\infty)}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Remark 3.5 *(i) (Regularity) In addition to the assumptions of Theorem 3.4, if we consider that the initial data verifies*

$$\sup_{-\infty<t<\infty} t^\sigma \|G_{0,\delta}(t)u_0\|_{(\alpha+2,d)} < \infty$$

for some $1 \leq d < \infty$, then there exists ξ_0 such that if

$$\sup_{-\infty<t<\infty} t^\sigma \|G_{0,\delta}(t)u_0\|_{(\alpha+2,d)} \leq \xi_0,$$

then global solution provided in Theorem 3.4 satisfies that

$$\sup_{-\infty<t<\infty} t^\sigma \|u(t)\|_{(\alpha+2,d)} < \infty.$$

(ii) (Radial solutions) As in Corollary 3.3, if the initial data u_0 is radially symmetric, then the global-in-time solution u is radially symmetric for a.e. $t \neq 0$.

(iii) (Asymptotic stability) Following the proof of (3.5) we can obtain that if u, v are global mild solutions of the Cauchy problem (1.1) given by Theorem 3.4, with initial data $u_0, v_0 \in \mathcal{D}_\sigma$ respectively, satisfying

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} t^\sigma (1+t)^\varsigma \|G_\epsilon(t)(u_0 - v_0)\|_{(\alpha+2,\infty)} = 0,$$

then $\lim_{t\to\infty} t^\sigma (1+t)^\varsigma \|u(t) - v(t)\|_{(\alpha+2,\infty)} = 0$.

(iv) (Biharmonic and anisotropic biharmonic global solutions) Theorem 3.4 gives existence of global mild solution for Cauchy problem associated to equation (1.3) in the class $\mathcal{G}_\sigma^\infty$. The proof was based on the time- decay estimate of the group $G_{0,\delta}(t)$ given in Lemma 2.4. However, taking into account that if $\epsilon = 0$ the time-decay estimate in Lemma 2.3 holds true for all $t \neq 0$, we are able to prove the existence of global-in-time mild solutions for the Cauchy problem associated to equation (1.3) in the class $G_{\sigma(p)}^\infty$ defined as the set of Bochner measurable functions $u : (-\infty, \infty) \to L^{(p(\alpha+1),\infty)}$ such that

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{G}_{\sigma(p)}^\infty} = \sup_{-\infty<t<\infty} |t|^{\sigma(p)} \|u(t)\|_{(p(\alpha+1),\infty)} < \infty,$$

where $\sigma(p)$ is given by

$$\sigma(p) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{\alpha} - \dfrac{n}{4p(\alpha+1)}, \ \text{if } A = \Delta^2, \\ \dfrac{1}{\alpha} - \dfrac{2n-d}{4p(\alpha+1)}, \ \text{if } A = \displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^{d} \partial_{x_i x_i x_i x_i}. \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

Here p, α must verify $1 \leq \alpha < \infty$, $(1/p, 1/(\alpha+1)p) \in \Xi_0 \setminus \partial\Xi_0$ and $\frac{4p}{n\alpha} < 1 < \frac{4p(\alpha+1)}{n\alpha}$ if $A = \Delta^2$ or, $\frac{4p}{(2n-d)\alpha} < 1 < \frac{4p(\alpha+1)}{(2n-d)\alpha}$ if $A = \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_{x_i x_i x_i x_i}$.

Corollary 3.6 *(Biharmonic and anisotropic biharmonic self-similar solutions). Let $\epsilon = 0$, $1 \leq \alpha < \infty$ and assume that $(\alpha+1)\sigma < 1$. Consider either $\frac{n\alpha}{4(\alpha+2)} < 1$ if $A = \Delta^2$, or $\frac{(2n-d)\alpha}{4(\alpha+2)} < 1$ if $A = \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_{x_i x_i x_i x_i}$. Assume that the initial data u_0 is a homogeneous function of degree $\frac{-4}{\alpha}$. Then the solution $u(t,x)$ provided by Theorem 3.4 is self-similar, that is, $u(t,x) = \lambda^{\frac{4}{\alpha}} u(\lambda^4 t, \lambda x)$ for all $\lambda > 0$, almost everywhere for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t > 0$.*

Remark 3.7 *An admissible class of initial data for the existence of self-similar solutions in Corollary 3.6 is given by the set of functions $u_0(x) = P_m(x)|x|^{-m-\frac{4}{\alpha}}$ where $P_m(x)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree m.*

Proof: The proof of Theorem 3.4 will be also obtained as an application of the Banach fixed point Theorem. We denote by B_M the set of $u \in \mathcal{G}_\sigma^\infty$ such that

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{G}_\sigma^\infty} \equiv \sup_{-\infty<t<\infty} |t|^\sigma \|u(t)\|_{(\alpha+2,\infty)} \leq M,$$

endowed with the complete metric $d(u,v) = \sup_{-\infty<t<\infty} |t|^\sigma \|u(t) - v(t)\|_{(\alpha+2,\infty)}$. We will show that the mapping Υ defined by

$$\Upsilon(u(t)) = G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t)u_0 + i\int_0^t G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t-\tau) f(|u(x,\tau)|)u(x,s)d\tau, \tag{3.7}$$

is a contraction on (B_M, d). From the assumptions on the initial data and Lemma 2.6 (with $v = 0$), we have (for all $u \in B_M$)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Upsilon(u)\|_{\mathcal{G}_\sigma^\infty} &\leq \|G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t)u_0\|_{\mathcal{G}_\sigma^\infty} + \|\mathcal{F}(u)\|_{\mathcal{G}_\sigma^\infty} \leq \xi + \tilde{C}\|u\|_{\mathcal{G}_\sigma^\infty}^{\alpha+1} \\ &\leq \xi + \tilde{C}M^{\alpha+1} \leq M, \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

because M and ξ verify $\xi + \tilde{C}M^{\alpha+1} \leq M$. Thus, Υ maps B_M itself. On the other hand, Lemma 2.6, we get

$$\|\Upsilon(u) - \Upsilon(v)\|_{\mathcal{G}_\sigma^\infty} \leq \|\mathcal{F}(u) - \mathcal{F}(v)\|_{\mathcal{G}_\sigma^\infty} \leq 2\tilde{C}M^\alpha \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{G}_\sigma^\infty}. \tag{3.9}$$

Since $\tilde{C}M^\alpha < 1$, it follows that Υ is a contraction on (B_M, d) and consequently, the Banach fixed point theorem implies the existence of a unique global solution $u \in \mathcal{G}_\sigma^\infty$. In order to prove the continuous dependence of the mild solutions with respect to the initial data, it suffices to observe that (3.9) implies that

$$\|u - v\|_{\mathcal{G}_\sigma^\infty} \leq \|G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t)u_0 - G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t)v_0\|_{\mathcal{G}_\sigma} + CM^\alpha \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{G}_\sigma^\infty}.$$

Thus, as $\tilde{C}M^\alpha < 1$, then $\|u - v\|_{\mathcal{G}_\sigma^\infty} \leq C\|G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t)u_0 - G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t)v_0\|_{\mathcal{G}_\sigma^\infty}$. Finally, in order to prove the stronger decay, notice that

$$\begin{aligned} t^\sigma(1+t)^\varsigma \|u(t) - v(t)\|_{(\alpha+2,\infty)} &\leq C \sup_{t>0} t^\sigma(1+t)^\varsigma \|G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t)(u_0 - v_0)\|_{(\alpha+2,\infty)} \\ &\quad + t^\sigma(1+t)^\varsigma \|\mathcal{F}(u) - \mathcal{F}(v)\|_{(\alpha+2,\infty)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

As $\|u\|_{\mathcal{G}_\sigma^\infty}, \|v\|_{\mathcal{G}_\sigma^\infty} \leq M$, using the change of variable $\tau \mapsto \tau t$ and noting that $(1+t)^\varsigma(1+t\tau)^{-\varsigma} \leq t^\varsigma(t\tau)^{-\varsigma}$ for $\tau \in [0,1]$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &t^\sigma(1+t)^\varsigma \|\mathcal{F}(u) - \mathcal{F}(v)\|_{(\alpha+2,\infty)} \leq t^\sigma(1+t)^\varsigma \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{n\alpha}{4(\alpha+2)}} \tau^{-\sigma(\alpha+1)}(1+\tau)^\varsigma \\ &\times (\tau^\sigma(1+\tau)^\varsigma \|u(\tau) - v(\tau)\|_{(\alpha+2,\infty)}) \left[\tau^\sigma \|u(\tau)\|_{(\alpha+2,\infty)}^\alpha + \tau^\sigma \|v(\tau)\|_{(\alpha+2,\infty)}^\alpha\right] ds \\ &\leq 2M^\alpha \int_0^1 (1-\tau)^{-\frac{n\alpha}{4(\alpha+2)}} \tau^{-\sigma(\alpha+1)}(1+t)^\varsigma (1+t\tau)^{-\varsigma} ((t\tau)^\sigma(1+(t\tau))^\varsigma \\ &\times \|u(t\tau) - v(t\tau)\|_{(\alpha+2,\infty)}) ds \\ &\leq 2M^\alpha \int_0^1 (1-\tau)^{-\frac{n\alpha}{4(\alpha+2)}} \tau^{-\sigma(\alpha+1)} \tau^{-\varsigma} ((t\tau)^\sigma(1+(t\tau))^\varsigma \|u(t\tau) - v(t\tau)\|_{(\alpha+2,\infty)}) d\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Therefore, by denoting $A = \sup_{t>0} t^\sigma(1+t)^\varsigma \|u(t) - v(t)\|_{(\alpha+2,\infty)}$, from (3.10) and (3.11) we get

$$\begin{aligned} A \leq C \sup_{t>0} t^\sigma(1+t)^\varsigma \|G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t)(u_0 - v_0)\|_{(\alpha+2,\infty)} \\ + \left(2M^\alpha \int_0^1 (1-\tau)^{-\frac{n\alpha}{4(\alpha+2)}} \tau^{-\sigma(\alpha+1)} \tau^{-\varsigma} d\tau\right) A. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing M small enough such that $2M^\alpha \int_0^1 (1-\tau)^{-\frac{n\alpha}{4(\alpha+2)}} \tau^{-\sigma(\alpha+1)} \tau^{-\varsigma} d\tau < 1$, we conclude the proof. □

Proof of Corollary 3.6 We recall that due the fixed point argument used in the proof of Theorem 3.4, the solution u is the limit in $\mathcal{G}_\sigma^\infty$ of the Picard sequence

$$u_1 = G_{0,\delta}(t)u_0, \;\; u_{k+1} = u_1 + \mathcal{F}(u_k), \;\; k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3.12}$$

Notice that the initial data u_0 satisfying $u_0(\lambda x) = \lambda^{-\frac{4}{\alpha}} u_0(x)$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{D}_\sigma$ (see Corollary 2.6 in [12]). Since $\epsilon = 0$, we can obtain

$$u_1(\lambda x, \lambda^4 t) = \lambda^{-\frac{4}{\alpha}} u_1(x,t) \tag{3.13}$$

and then u_1 is invariant by the scaling

$$u(x,t) \to u_\lambda(x,t) := \lambda^{\frac{4}{\lambda}} u(\lambda x, \lambda^4 t), \;\; \lambda > 0. \tag{3.14}$$

Moreover, the nonlinear term $\mathcal{F}(u)$ is invariant by scaling (3.14) when u is also. Therefore, we can employ an induction argument in order to obtain that all elements u_k have the scaling invariance property (3.14). Because the norm of $\mathcal{G}_\alpha^\infty$ is scaling invariant, we get that the limit u also is invariant by the scaling transformation $u \to u_\lambda$, as required. □

4 Vanishing dispersion limits

This section is devoted to the analysis of the vanishing dispersion limits. First, we study the convergence in weak-L^p spaces, as well as, in the finite energy $H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ space, as $\epsilon \to 0$, of the solutions of the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \epsilon\Delta u + \delta Au + \lambda|u|^\alpha u = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x), \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

to the solutions of

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \delta Au + \lambda|u|^\alpha u = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x), \qquad\qquad\qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

4.1 Weak-L^p Limit

We investigate the vanishing second order dispersion limit in the framework of weak-L^p spaces. Explicitly, we study the convergence as $\epsilon \to 0$, of the local solutions of the Cauchy problem (4.1) to the solutions of (4.2) in the norm $\|f\|_{\mathcal{X}_T} := \|f(t)\|_{L^r(0,T;L^{(p(\alpha+1),\infty)}(\mathbb{R}^n))}$, for $r > \frac{\alpha}{1-\beta}$. Here we recall that $\beta = \frac{n\alpha}{4p(\alpha+1)}$ if $A = \Delta^2$ and $\beta = \frac{(2n-d)\alpha}{4p(\alpha+1)}$ if $A = \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_{x_i x_i x_i x_i}$.

Theorem 4.1 *Consider u_ϵ and u in the class $L^r((-T,T);L^{p(\alpha+1)}(\mathbb{R}^n))$, $r > \frac{\alpha}{1-\beta}$, the solutions of (4.1) and (4.2) respectively, with common initial data $u_0 \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\|G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t)u_0\|_{\mathcal{G}_\beta^T}$ is finite. Here $(-T,T)$ is a common interval of local existence for u_ϵ and u. Then, there exists $0 < T_0 \le T$ such that*

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \|u_\epsilon(t) - u(t)\|_{\mathcal{X}_{T_0}} = 0,$$

for all $t \in (-T_0, T_0)$.

Proof: We only consider the case $A = \Delta^2$. The case $A = \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_{x_i x_i x_i x_i}$ follows in an analogous way, so we omit it. We recall that the mild solutions associated to (4.1) and (4.2) satisfy the following integral equation, respectively

$$u_\epsilon(x,t) = G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t)u_0(x) + i\int_0^t G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t-\tau)|u_\epsilon(x,\tau)|^\alpha u_\epsilon(x,\tau)d\tau, \tag{4.3}$$

$$u(x,t) = G_{0,\delta}(t)u_0(x) + i\int_0^t G_{0,\delta}(t-\tau)|u(x,\tau)|^\alpha u(x,\tau)d\tau. \tag{4.4}$$

Making the difference between the integral equations (4.15) and (4.4), and taking the norm $\|.\|_{\mathcal{X}_T}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_\epsilon(t) - u(t)\|_{\mathcal{X}_T} &\le \left\| \int_0^t G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t-\tau)|u_\epsilon(\tau)|^\alpha u_\epsilon(\tau)d\tau - \int_0^t G_{0,\delta}(t-\tau)|u(\tau)|^\alpha u(\tau)d\tau \right\|_{\mathcal{X}_T} \\ &\quad + \|[G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t) - G_{0,\delta}(t)]\, u_0\|_{\mathcal{X}_T} \\ &\le \left\| \int_0^t G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t-\tau)\left[|u_\epsilon(\tau)|^\alpha u_\epsilon(\tau) - |u(\tau)|^\alpha u(\tau)\right] d\tau \right\|_{\mathcal{X}_T} + \|[G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t) - G_{0,\delta}(t)]\, u_0\|_{\mathcal{X}_T} \\ &\quad + \left\| \int_0^t [G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t-\tau) - G_{0,\delta}(t-\tau)]|u(\tau)|^\alpha u(\tau)d\tau \right\|_{\mathcal{X}_T} \equiv J_1 + J_2 + J_3. \end{aligned}$$

Due the fact that $\beta < 1$ then $0 < \frac{n}{4}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p(\alpha+1)}) < 1$ and thus, we can apply Proposition 2.5 in order to bound the term J_1 as follows

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= \left(\int_0^T \left\| \int_0^t G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t-\tau)\left[|u_\epsilon(\tau)|^\alpha u_\epsilon(\tau) - |u(\tau)|^\alpha u(\tau)\right] d\tau \right\|^r_{(p(\alpha+1),\infty)} dt \right)^{1/r} \\ &\le C \left(\int_0^T \||u_\epsilon(\tau)|^\alpha u_\epsilon(\tau) - |u(\tau)|^\alpha u(\tau)\|^s_{(p,\infty)}\, dt \right)^{1/s}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Here $s \leq r$ is such that $\frac{1}{s} = 1 + \frac{1}{r} - \frac{n}{4}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p(\alpha+1)}) = 1 + \frac{1}{r} - \beta$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T \||u_\epsilon|^\alpha u_\epsilon - |u|^\alpha u\|^s_{(p,\infty)}\, dt \leq \int_0^T \|u_\epsilon - u\|^s_{(p(\alpha+1),\infty)}(\|u_\epsilon\|^\alpha_{(p(\alpha+1),\infty)} + \|u\|^\alpha_{(p(\alpha+1),\infty)})^s dt \\
&\leq C\left(\int_0^T \|u_\epsilon(t) - u(t)\|^r_{(p(\alpha+1),\infty)} dt\right)^{s/r} \left\{\left(\int_0^T \|u_\epsilon(t)\|^{\frac{\alpha s r}{r-s}}_{(p(\alpha+1),\infty)} dt\right)^{\frac{r-s}{r}} + \left(\int_0^T \|u(t)\|^{\frac{\alpha r s}{r-s}}_{(p(\alpha+1),\infty)} dt\right)^{\frac{r-s}{r}}\right\} \\
&\leq CT^\theta \left(\int_0^T \|u_\epsilon(t) - u(t)\|^r_{(p(\alpha+1),\infty)} dt\right)^{s/r} \left\{\left(\int_0^T \|u_\epsilon(t)\|^r_{(p(\alpha+1),\infty)} dt\right)^{\frac{\alpha s}{r}} + \left(\int_0^T \|u(t)\|^r_{(p(\alpha+1),\infty)} dt\right)^{\frac{\alpha s}{r}}\right\},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\theta = \frac{r-s}{r} - \frac{\alpha s}{r}$. Therefore, from (4.5) and recalling that $\frac{1}{s} = 1 + \frac{1}{r} - \frac{n}{4}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p(\alpha+1)}) = 1 + \frac{1}{r} - \beta$, we get

$$J_1 \leq CT^{1-\beta-\frac{\alpha}{r}} \|u_\epsilon - u\|_{\mathcal{X}} (\|u_\epsilon\|^\alpha_{\mathcal{X}_T} + \|u\|^\alpha_{\mathcal{X}_T}). \tag{4.6}$$

On the other hand, the terms J_2 and J_3 converge to zero as ϵ goes to zero. For that, is enough to observe that

$$[G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t) - G_{0,\delta}(t)f]\widehat{\ }(\xi) = e^{it\delta|\xi|^4}\left(e^{-it\epsilon|\xi|^2} - 1\right)\hat{f}(\xi).$$

Thus,

$$\| [G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t) - G_{0,\delta}(t)f] \|_{(p(\alpha+1),\infty)} \leq C|t|^{\frac{n}{4}(\frac{2}{p(\alpha+1)}-1)} \|\Lambda_\epsilon f\|_{(\frac{1}{(p(\alpha+1))'},\infty)} \to 0 \text{ as } \epsilon \to 0,$$

where $\widehat{\Lambda_\epsilon f}(\xi) = \left(e^{-it\epsilon|\xi|^2} - 1\right)\hat{f}(\xi)$. □

4.2 Limit as $\epsilon \to 0$

Throughout this subsection we consider α as a positive even integer. Before to establish our main results, we give some preliminary facts. First we recall the following conserved quantities of (4.1):

$$M(u) = \|u\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \tag{4.7}$$

$$E_{\epsilon,\delta,\lambda}(u) = \delta\|\Delta u\|^2_{L^2} - \epsilon\|\nabla u\|^2_{L^2} + \frac{2\lambda}{\alpha+2}\|u\|^{\alpha+2}_{L^{\alpha+2}}, \text{ if } A = \Delta^2 \tag{4.8}$$

$$E_{\epsilon,\delta,\lambda}(u) = \delta\sum_{i=1}^d \|u_{x_ix_i}\|^2_{L^2} - \epsilon\|\nabla u\|^2_{L^2} + \tfrac{2\lambda}{\alpha+2}\|u\|^{\alpha+2}_{L^{\alpha+2}}, \text{ if } A = \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_{x_ix_ix_ix_i}. \tag{4.9}$$

According to the signs of the pair (δ, λ) and the parameter ϵ that goes to zero, we have two cases

(*i*) Case 1: $\delta\lambda > 0$ and $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$.

(*ii*) Case 2: $\delta\lambda < 0$ and $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$.

Thus we have the next result.

Proposition 4.2 *Fix the parameters $\delta = \pm 1, \lambda = \pm 1$ and let $u_\epsilon \in C([-T,T]; H^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ the local solution of (4.1) with initial data $u_0 \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $A = \Delta^2$. Then,*

- *If $(\epsilon, \delta, \lambda)$ is as in Case 1 or*

- *If $(\epsilon,\delta,\lambda)$ is as in Case 2, $n\alpha<8$, $\frac{n\alpha}{4(\alpha+2)}\leq 1$, if $n\neq 2,4$, and $0\leq\frac{n\alpha}{4(\alpha+2)}<1$ if $n=2,4$. then the following estimate holds*

$$\|u_\epsilon(t)\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\leq C(\|u_0\|_{H^2},\|u_0\|_{L^{\alpha+2}}). \tag{4.10}$$

Proof: First we consider the Case 1. Using the conserved quantities of (4.1) given in (4.7)-(4.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\|u_\epsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2+\|\Delta u_\epsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2=M(u_0)+\delta^{-1}E_{\epsilon,\delta,\lambda}(u_0)+\delta^{-1}\epsilon\|\nabla u_\epsilon\|_{L^2}^2\\&-\frac{2\delta^{-1}\lambda}{\alpha+2}\|u_\epsilon\|_{L^{\alpha+2}}^{\alpha+2}\leq M(u_0)+\delta^{-1}E_{\epsilon,\delta,\lambda}(u_0)+\delta^{-1}\epsilon\|\nabla u_\epsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2.\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

At this point we have to consider two subcases. If $\delta^{-1}\epsilon<0$, taking $0<|\epsilon|<\frac{1}{2}$, we arrived at

$$\|u_\epsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2+\|\Delta u_\epsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2\leq M(u_0)+\delta^{-1}E_{\epsilon,\delta,\lambda}(u_0)\leq M(u_0)+E_{-\frac{1}{2},1,\delta^{-1}\lambda}(u_0).$$

On the other hand, if $\delta^{-1}\epsilon>0$, we have from (4.11)

$$\begin{aligned}\|u_\epsilon(t)\|_{H^2}^2&\leq C(\|u_\epsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2+\|\Delta u_\epsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2)\\&\leq CM(u_0)+CE_{0,1,\delta^{-1}\lambda}(u_0)+\delta^{-1}\epsilon C\|u_\epsilon(t)\|_{H^2}^2.\end{aligned}$$

Again, consider $0<|\epsilon|<\frac{1}{2C}$ to arrive at

$$\|u_\epsilon(t)\|_{H^2}^2\lesssim M(u_0)+E_{0,1,\delta^{-1}\lambda}(u_0).$$

In any subcase we obtain the desired result.

Now, we consider the Case 2. Consider the restrictions $n\alpha<8$, $0\leq\frac{n\alpha}{4(\alpha+2)}\leq 1$ if $n\neq 2,4$, and $0\leq\frac{n\alpha}{4(\alpha+2)}<1$ if $n=2,4$. Thus, by applying the Douglas-Niremberg and Young inequalities we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\|u_\epsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2+\|\Delta u_\epsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2=M(u_0)+\delta^{-1}E_{\epsilon,\delta,\lambda}(u_0)+\delta^{-1}\epsilon\|\nabla u_\epsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2\\&-\frac{2\delta^{-1}\lambda}{\alpha+2}\|u_\epsilon(t)\|_{L^{\alpha+2}}^{\alpha+2}\leq M(u_0)+\delta^{-1}E_{\epsilon,\delta,\lambda}(u_0)+\delta^{-1}\epsilon\|\nabla u_\epsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2\\&+C_1\|u_\epsilon(t)\|_{H^2}^{\frac{n\alpha}{4}}\|u_\epsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^{\alpha+2-\frac{n\alpha}{4}}=M(u_0)+\delta^{-1}E_{\epsilon,\delta,\lambda}(u_0)+\delta^{-1}\epsilon\|\nabla u_\epsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2\\&+C_1\|u_\epsilon(t)\|_{H^2}^{\frac{n\alpha}{4}}\|u_0\|_{L^2}^{\alpha+2-\frac{n\alpha}{4}}\leq M(u_0)+\delta^{-1}E_{\epsilon,\delta,\lambda}(u_0)+\delta^{-1}\epsilon\|\nabla u_\epsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2\\&+C_1\mu_0\|u_\epsilon(t)\|_{H^2}^2+C(\mu_0)\|u_0\|_{L^2}^\kappa\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

with $\kappa=\frac{8(\alpha+2)-8n\alpha}{8-n\alpha}$. Taking $0<\mu_0<\frac{1}{2C_1}$, we obtain from (4.12) that

$$\|u_\epsilon(t)\|_{H^2}^2\lesssim M(u_0)+\delta^{-1}E_{\epsilon,\delta,\lambda}(u_0)+\delta^{-1}\epsilon\|\nabla u_\epsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2+C(\|u_0\|_{L^2}). \tag{4.13}$$

Again, we have two subcases. If $\delta^{-1}\epsilon<0$, it is easy to see that for $0<|\epsilon|<\frac{1}{2}$

$$\|u_\epsilon(t)\|_{H^2}^2\lesssim M(u_0)+E_{-\frac{1}{2},1,\delta^{-1}\lambda}(u_0)+C(\mu_0,\|u_0\|_{L^2}). \tag{4.14}$$

Finally, if $\delta^{-1}\epsilon>0$, we use that $\delta^{-1}\epsilon\|\nabla u_\epsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2\leq\frac{1}{2}\|u_\epsilon(t)\|_{H^2}^2$ for $0<|\epsilon|<\frac{1}{2}$ in (4.13) to obtain again inequality (4.14). □

Now, we are in position to establish our main results of this section

Theorem 4.3 *Consider u_ϵ and u in the class $C([-T,T];H^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$, the solutions of (4.1) and (4.2) respectively, with common initial data $u_0\in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $A=\Delta^2$. Here $[-T,T]$ is the common interval of local existence for u_ϵ and u. Suppose $n<4$, if $\delta\lambda<0$ assume that $n\alpha<8$, $\frac{n\alpha}{4(\alpha+2)}\leq 1$, if $n\neq 2$, and $0\leq\frac{n\alpha}{4(\alpha+2)}<1$ if $n=2$. Then,*

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\|u_\epsilon(t)-u(t)\|_{H^2}=0,$$

for all $t\in[-T,T]$.

Remark 4.4 *(Anisotropic dispersion). A version of Theorem 4.3 for the anisotropic case, i.e.,* $A = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \partial_{x_i x_i x_i x_i}$*, by replacing the norm convergence in* H^2 *by the natural norm* $H^2(\mathbb{R}^d)H^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-d})$*, is not clear. In fact, we are not able to bound* $\|\nabla u_\epsilon\|_{L^2}$ *or* $\|u_\epsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{d} \|u_{\epsilon_{x_i x_i}}\|_{L^2}^2$ *in terms of the conserved quantities associated to (4.1) and independently of* ϵ*.*

Proof: As usual, the mild solutions associated to (4.2) satisfy the integral equation

$$u(x,t) = G_{0,\delta}(t)u_0(x) + i\int_0^t G_{0,\delta}(t-\tau)f(|u(x,\tau)|)u(x,\tau)d\tau, \tag{4.15}$$

where $G_{0,\delta}$ is define as $G_{\epsilon,\delta}$ given in (1.8), but with $\epsilon = 0$. Making the difference between the integral equations (1.7) and (4.15) we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|u_\epsilon(t) - u(t)\|_{H^2} \leq \|[G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t) - G_{0,\delta}(t)]\, u_0\|_{H^2} \\
&+ \left\| \int_0^t G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t-\tau)|u_\epsilon(\tau)|^\alpha u_\epsilon(\tau)d\tau - \int_0^t G_{0,\delta}(t-\tau)|u(\tau)|^\alpha u(\tau)d\tau \right\|_{H^2} \leq \\
&\int_0^t \|G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t-\tau)\,[|u_\epsilon(\tau)|^\alpha u_\epsilon(\tau) - |u(\tau)|^\alpha u(\tau)]\|_{H^2}\, d\tau + \|[G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t) - G_{0,\delta}(t)]\, u_0\|_{H^2} \\
&+ \int_0^t \|[G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t-\tau) - G_{0,\delta}(t-\tau)]|u(\tau)|^\alpha u(\tau)\|_{H^2}\, d\tau
\end{aligned}$$

Since $G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t)$ is a unitary group on H^2, from last inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|u_\epsilon(t) - u(t)\|_{H^2} \leq \int_0^t \|[|u_\epsilon(\tau)|^\alpha u_\epsilon(\tau) - |u(\tau)|^\alpha u(\tau)]\|_{H^2}\, d\tau + \\
&\|[G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t) - G_{0,\delta}(t)]\, u_0\|_{H^2} + \int_0^t \|[G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t-\tau) - G_{0,\delta}(t-\tau)]|u(\tau)|^\alpha u(\tau)\|_{H^2}\, d\tau \\
&\leq \int_0^t \||u_\epsilon(\tau) - u(\tau)|(|u_\epsilon(\tau)|^\alpha + |u(\tau)|^\alpha)\|_{H^2}\, d\tau + \|[G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t) - G_{0,\delta}(t)]\, u_0\|_{H^2} \\
&+ \int_0^t \|[G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t-\tau) - G_{0,\delta}(t-\tau)]|u(\tau)|^\alpha u(\tau)\|_{H^2}\, d\tau.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

Then, from (4.16) and Proposition 4.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u_\epsilon(t) - u(t)\|_{H^2} \leq C\int_0^t \|u_\epsilon(\tau) - u(\tau)\|_{H^2}\, d\tau + \|[G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t) - G_{0,\delta}(t)]\, u_0\|_{H^2} \\
+ \int_0^t \|[G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t-\tau) - G_{0,\delta}(t-\tau)]|u(\tau)|^\alpha u(\tau)\|_{H^2}\, d\tau.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

From Gronwall's inequality we arrived at

$$\|u_\epsilon(t) - u(t)\|_{H^2} \leq \Psi_{\epsilon,\delta}(t) + C\int_0^t \Psi_{\epsilon,\delta}(\tau)e^{C(t-\tau)}d\tau,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{\epsilon,\delta}(t) = &\|[G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t) - G_{0,\delta}(t)]\, u_0\|_{H^2} \\
&+ \int_0^t \|[G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t-\tau) - G_{0,\delta}(t-\tau)]|u(\tau)|^\alpha u(\tau)\|_{H^2}\, d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that being α a positive integer we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_{\epsilon,\delta}(t) &\leq \|u_0\|_{H^2} + \int_0^t \||u(\tau)|^\alpha u(\tau)\|_{H^2} d\tau \leq \|u_0\|_{H^2} + \int_0^t \|u(\tau)\|_{H^2}^{\alpha+1} d\tau \\ &\leq \|u_0\|_{H^2} + t\|u_0\|_{H^2}^{\alpha+1}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus $|\Psi_{\epsilon,\delta}(\tau)e^{C(t-\tau)}| \lesssim e^{C(t-\tau)}$. Since $e^{C(t-\tau)} \in L^1(0,T)$, in order to obtain our result we just have to show that $\Psi_{\epsilon,\delta}(t) \to 0$ as $\epsilon \to 0$, for any $t \in [0,T]$. First, observe that

$$\|[G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t) - G_{0,\delta}(t)]\, u_0\|_{H^2}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle\xi\rangle^4 |e^{-it\epsilon|\xi|^2} - 1|^2 |\widehat{u_0}(\xi)|^2 d\xi.$$

Since $\langle\xi\rangle^4 |e^{-it\epsilon|\xi|^2} - 1|^2 |\widehat{u_0}(\xi)|^2 \lesssim \langle\xi\rangle^4 |\widehat{u_0}(\xi)|^2 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\langle\xi\rangle^4 |e^{-it\epsilon|\xi|^2} - 1|^2 |\widehat{u_0}(\xi)|^2 \to 0$, as $\epsilon \to 0$, a.e. on $\mathbb{R}^n$, by the Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \|[G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t) - G_{0,\delta}(t)]\, u_0\|_{H^2} = 0.$$

From Proposition 4.2 we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|[G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t-\tau) - G_{0,\delta}(t-\tau)]|u(\tau)|^\alpha u(\tau)\|_{H^2} &\leq \||u(\tau)|^\alpha u(\tau)\|_{H^2} \leq \|u(\tau)\|_{H^2}^{\alpha+1} \\ &\lesssim [C(\|u_0\|_{H^2}, \|u_0\|_{L^{\alpha+2}})]^{\alpha+1}.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover notice that $\|[G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t-\tau) - G_{0,\delta}(t-\tau)]|u(\tau)|^\alpha u(\tau)\|_{H^2} \to 0$, as $\epsilon \to 0$; then we arrived at

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \int_0^t \|[G_{\epsilon,\delta}(t-\tau) - G_{0,\delta}(t-\tau)]|u(\tau)|^\alpha u(\tau)\|_{H^2}\, d\tau = 0,$$

which finishes the proof of the theorem. □

References

[1] A. Aceves, C. De Angelis and S. Turitsyn, *Multidimensional solitons in fiber arrays,* Optim. Lett. 19 (1995), 329-331.

[2] C. Banquet, L.C.F. Ferreira and E.J. Villamizar-Roa, *On the Schrödinger-Boussinesq system with singular initial data,* J. Math. Anal. Appl. 400 (2013), 487-496.

[3] J. Bergh and J. Löfström, *Interpolation Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976.

[4] T. Cazenave and F. Weissler, *Asymptotically self-similar global solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger and heat equations,* Math. Z. 228 (1998), 83-120.

[5] S. Cui, *Pointwise estimates for a class of oscillatory integrals and related $L^p - L^q$ estimates,* J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 11 (2005) 441-457.

[6] S. Cui, *Pointwise estimates for a class of oscillatory integrals and related $L^p - L^q$ estimates II: Multi-dimension case,* J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 6 (2006) 605-626.

[7] S. Cui and A. Guo, *Well-posedness of higher-order nonlinear Schrödinger equations in Sobolev spaces $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and applications.* Nonlinear Anal. 67 (2007) 687-707.

[8] K. Dysthe, *Note on a modification to the nonlinear Schrödinger equation for application to deep water waves,* Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A 369 (1979) 105-114.

[9] L.C.F. Ferreira, *Existence and scattering theory for Boussinesq type equations with singular data. J. Differential Equations,* 250 (2011), 2372-2388.

[10] L.C.F. Ferreira and E.J. Villamizar-Roa, *Self-similarity and asymptotic stability for coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations in high dimensions*, Phys. D 241 (2012), 534-542.

[11] L.C.F. Ferreira, G. Planas and E.J. Villamizar-Roa, *On the nonhomogeneous Navier-Stokes system with Navier friction boundary conditions,* SIAM J. Math. Anal. 45 (2013), no. 4, 25762595.

[12] L.C.F. Ferreira, E.J. Villamizar-Roa and P. Braz e Silva, *On the existence of infinite energy solutions for nonlinear Schrödinger equations,* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 137 (2009), 1977-1987.

[13] A. Guo and S. Cui, *Global existence of solutions for a fourth-order nonlinear Schrödinger equation.* Appl. Math. Lett. 19 (2006), 706-711.

[14] A. Guo and S. Cui, *On the Cauchy problem of fourth-order nonlinear Schrödinger equations.* Nonlinear Anal. 66 (2007), 2911-2930.

[15] A. Guo and S. Cui, *On the Cauchy problem of fourth-order nonlinear Schrödinger equations,* Nonlinear Anal. 66 (2007), 2911-2930.

[16] A. Guo and S. Cui, *Well-posedness of the Cauchy problem of high dimension non-isotropic fourth-order Schrödinger equations in Sobolev spaces,* Nonlinear Anal. 70 (2009), 3761-3772.

[17] C. Guo, *Global existence of solutions for a fourth-order nonlinear Schrödinger equation in* $n + 1$ *dimensions.* Nonlinear Anal. 73 (2010), 555-563.

[18] C. Guo and S. Cui, *Well-posedness of the Cauchy problem of high dimension non-isotropic fourth-order Schrödinger equations in Sobolev spaces,* Nonlinear Anal. 70 (2009), 3761-3772.

[19] C. Guo, *Global existence and asymptotic behavior of the Cauchy problem for fourth-order Schrödinger equations with combined power-type nonlinearities*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 392 (2012) 111-122.

[20] G. Fibich, B. Ilan and G. Papanicolaou, *Self-focusing with fourth-order dispersion,* SIAM J. Appl. Math. 62 (2002), 1437-1462.

[21] R. Hirota, *Direct Methods in Soliton Theory,* Springer, Berlin, 1980.

[22] B. Ivano and A. Kosevich, *Stable three-dimensional small-amplitude soliton in magnetic materials,* Sov. J. Low Temp. Phys. 9 (1983) 439-442.

[23] D. Iftimie and F. Sueur, *Viscous boundary layers for the Navier-Stokes equations with the Navier slip conditions,* Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 199 (2011), no. 1, 145175.

[24] V. Karpman, *Stabilization of soliton instabilities by higher-order dispersion: fourth order nonlinear Schrödinger-type equations,* Phys. Rev. E, 53 (1996) R1336-R1339.

[25] V. Karpman and A. Shagalov, *Stability of soliton described by nonlinear Schrödinger type equations with higher-order dispersion,* Phys. D 144 (2000) 194-210.

[26] C. Miao, G. Xua and L. Zhao, *Global well-posedness and scattering for the defocusing energy-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equations of fourth order in dimensions* $d \geq 9$*,* J. Differential Equations 251 (2011) 3381-3402.

[27] C. Miao, G. Xu and L. Zhao, *Global well-posedness and scattering for the focusing energy-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equations of fourth order in the radial case,* J. Differential Equations 246 (2009) 3715-3749.

[28] B. Pausader, *Global well-posedness for energy critical fourth-order Schrödinger equations in the radial case,* Dyn. Partial Differ. Equ. 4 (2007) 197-225.

[29] B. Pausader, *The cubic fourth-order Schrödinger equation,* J. Funct. Anal., 256 (2009) 2473-2515.

[30] B. Pausader, *The focusing energy-critical fourth-order Schrödinger equation with radial data, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser.* A 24 (2009) 1275-1292.

[31] J. Segata, *Modified wave operators for the fourth-order nonlinear Schrödinger-type equation with cubic nonlinearity*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 26 (2006) 1785-1800.

[32] E. Stein, *Harmonic analysis: Real-variable methods orthogonality and oscillatory integrals,* Princeton University Press, New Yersey, 1993.

[33] E.J. Villamizar-Roa and J.E. Pérez-López, *On the Davey-Stewartson system with singular initial data.* Comptes Rendus Mathematique, 350, (2012), 959-964.

[34] Y. Wang, *Nonlinear fourth-order Schrödinger equations with radial data,* Nonlinear Anal. 75 (2012), 2534-2541.

[35] S. Wen and D. Fan, *Spatiotemporal instabilities in nonlinear Kerr media in the presence of arbitrary higher order dispersions,* J. Opt. Soc. Amer. B 19 (2002), 1653-1659.

[36] X. Zhao, C. Guo, W. Sheng and X. Wei, *Well-posedness of the fourth-order perturbed Schrödinger type equation in non-isotropic Sobolev spaces,* J. Math. Anal. Appl. 382 (2011), 97-109.

[37] S. Zhu, H. Yang and J. Zhang, *Blow-up of rough solutions Nonlinear to the fourth-order nonlinear Schrödinger equation,* Nonlinear Anal. 74 (2011), 6186-6201.